

AD-A095 298

BROWN UNIV PROVIDENCE RI DEPT OF CHEMISTRY
CONDUCTIVITY AS A TOOL FOR EVALUATING THE HOMOGENEITY OF SINTER--ETC(U)
FEB 81 R KERSHAW, B KHAZAI, H LEIVA, K DWIGHT N00014-77-C-0387
TR-13

F/G 7/2

NL

UNCLASSIFIED

1-1P
AD-A095 298



END
DATE
FILMED
3-81
DTIC

AD A095298

HBC FILE COPY

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE		READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
1. REPORT NUMBER 13	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO. AD-A095298	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
4. TITLE (and Subtitle) Conductivity as a Tool for Evaluating the Homogeneity of Sintered Discs of Transition Metal Oxides		5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED Technical Report
7. AUTHOR(s) R. Kershaw, B. Khazai, H. Leiva, K. Dwight and A. Wold		6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER 14-71-13
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS Professor Aaron Wold Brown University, Department of Chemistry Providence, Rhode Island 02912		8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(s) N00014-77-C-0387
11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS Dr. David Nelson, Code 472 Office of Naval Research Arlington, Virginia		10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS NR-359-653
14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS (if different from Controlling Office)		12. REPORT DATE February 18, 1981
		13. NUMBER OF PAGES 11
		15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report)
		15a. DECLASSIFICATION DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE
16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for Public Release; Distribution Unlimited		
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report)		
18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Submitted to: Materials Research Bulletin		
19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) transition metal oxides evaluation of homogeneity sintered discs conductivity		
20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) Sintered discs can be prepared and made conducting for a number of transition metal oxides. It can be shown that room temperature resistivity measurements are an important tool in determining reproducibility for such materials. Several transition metal oxide systems have been chosen to illustrate the importance of these measurements.		

DTIC
ELECTE
FEB 19 1981
A

81 2 19 010

DD FORM 1 JAN 73 1473

EDITION OF 1 NOV 65 IS OBSOLETE
S/N 0102-014-6601

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

OFFICE OF NAVAL RESEARCH
Contract N00014-77-C-0387
Task No. NR-359-653
TECHNICAL REPORT NO. 13

Conductivity as a Tool for Evaluating the Homogeneity of
Sintered Discs of Transition Metal Oxides

by

R. Kershaw, B. Khazai, H. Leiva, K. Dwight and A. Wold

Prepared for Publication

in the

Materials Research Bulletin

Brown University
Department of Chemistry
Providence, Rhode Island

February 18, 1981

Reproduction in whole or in part is permitted for
any purpose of the United States Government

This document has been approved for public release
and sale; its distribution is unlimited

A

CONDUCTIVITY AS A TOOL FOR EVALUATING THE HOMOGENEITY OF
SINTERED DISCS OF TRANSITION METAL OXIDES

Robert Kershaw, Bijan Khazai, Hector Leiva,
Kirby Dwight and Aaron Wold
Department of Chemistry, Brown University
Providence, Rhode Island 02912

ABSTRACT

Sintered discs can be prepared and made conducting for a number of transition metal oxides. It can be shown that room temperature resistivity measurements are an important tool in determining reproducibility for such materials. Several transition metal oxide systems have been chosen to illustrate the importance of these measurements.

Introduction

In the course of our studies (1) on the preparation and characterization of conducting n-type oxide electrodes, it was observed that the resistivities of well-sintered discs could be related to the purity and homogeneity of the products as well as to the reproducibility of the preparative procedures. It has been known, prior to these studies, that absolute resistivity measurements of sintered discs are extremely sensitive to variations in disc density, grain size, impurity concentration; however, there was little correlation between such measurements and the ability to reproduce sintered discs of known purity and homogeneity.

It will be shown that such correlations can be made and that for many systems preparative reproducibility can be measured for polycrystalline samples where single crystals are difficult to obtain. In this study, reproducibility of the absolute resistivities of well-sintered discs for several transition metal oxide systems will be compared to the

variation obtained with single crystal samples of the systems TiO_{2-x} , $\text{TiO}_{2-x}\text{F}_x$ and SrTiO_{3-x} .

Experimental

Single crystal samples of conducting TiO_{2-x} , SrTiO_{3-x} and $\text{TiO}_{2-x}\text{F}_x$ were prepared from single crystal boules of TiO_2 and SrTiO_3 obtained from National Lead Industries. Reduced samples were prepared by heating wafers, 1 mm thick in a stream of pure hydrogen. Fluorination of TiO_2 wafers was carried out by the use of a Ar/H_2 carrier gas mixture containing hydrogen fluoride generated by the thermal decomposition of potassium bifluoride (2).

Bulk powder samples of $\text{Sr}_2\text{Nb}_2\text{O}_7$, $\text{Ba}_{.5}\text{Sr}_{.5}\text{Nb}_2\text{O}_6$, $\text{Ba}_2\text{FeNbO}_6$, $\text{Sr}_2\text{FeNbO}_6$, FeNbO_4 and other substituted iron niobates were prepared using standard solid-state techniques. The starting materials were carbonates and oxides of spectroscopic purity. Discs weighing between 150 and 200 mg were cold pressed at 60,000 to 90,000 P.S.I. In some cases, it was necessary to use a small amount of carbowax (Carbowax 20,000 Fisher Scientific Co.) as a binder. These discs were generally sintered by placing them on a bed of powder having the same composition. This was contained in a covered alumina crucible, which was then slowly heated up to and held at the desired sintering temperature as given in Table I.

TABLE 1					
Sample Preparation and Reducing Conditions of Discs					
Composition	Preparation Conditions		Reducing Conditions		
	Temp., °C	Time, hr.	Red. Agent	Temp.	Time
$\text{Sr}_2\text{Nb}_2\text{O}_7$	1330	15	Nb	900	67
$\text{Ba}_{.5}\text{Sr}_{.5}\text{Nb}_2\text{O}_6$	1330	15	Nb	900	67
$\text{Sr}_2\text{FeNbO}_6$	1330	6	Fe	950	72
FeNbO_4	1250	24	none	Discs sintered in air at 1250°C for 24 hours.	
$\text{Fe}_{.9}\text{Cr}_{.1}\text{NbO}_4$	1250	24	none		
$\text{Fe}_{.9}\text{In}_{.1}\text{NbO}_4$	1250	24	none		
$\text{Fe}_{.9}\text{V}_{.1}\text{NbO}_4$	900	24	none	Discs sintered in evacuated silica tube at 900°C for 24 hours.	

A disc was considered well sintered when its measured density was greater than 95% of the theoretical density. Sample densities were determined using a hydrostatic technique (3) employing a Mettler Model H54 analytical balance.

The density medium perfluoro (1-methyldecalin) was chosen because of its relatively low vapor pressure and its ability to wet the samples. A high purity silicon crystal ($\rho = 2.328\text{g/cm}^3$) was chosen for calibrating the density liquid. In order to obtain reproducible results, care was taken to outgas the samples thoroughly prior to density measurements.

Conductivity for FeNbO_4 was achieved by sintering the discs at 1250° for 24 hours in a hollow globar furnace and allowing the sample to cool at a rate of $75^\circ/\text{hour}$. Reduction of $\text{Sr}_2\text{Nb}_2\text{O}_7$, $\text{Ba}_{.5}\text{Sr}_{.5}\text{Nb}_2\text{O}_6$, $\text{Ba}_2\text{FeNbO}_6$ and $\text{Sr}_2\text{FeNbO}_6$ was achieved by heating the discs in sealed evacuated silica tubes containing either niobium or iron (4). As described by Schleich (5), the niobium or iron powder was placed in a small silica tube so that the sample and reducing material were not in direct contact. The conditions for the various reductions are given in Table 1.

Results and Discussion

X-ray diffraction patterns were obtained from ground portions of the final discs using the Debye-Scherrer method. These patterns were compared with those obtained from the polycrystalline reaction products.

Electrical measurements of the single crystal samples SrTiO_3 , TiO_2 and $\text{TiO}_{2-x}\text{F}_x$ were made on bars $4 \times 2 \times 1$ mm cut from the reduced or fluorinated wafer. Each bar was further subdivided into three sections $4 \times 2 \times 0.25$ mm in order to establish whether or not each wafer was truly homogeneous. Thus, the middle section was taken entirely from the interior of the wafer and made no contact with the outside surface. Indium leads were bonded ultrasonically to each section, and the standard Van der Pauw technique (6) was used to measure its resistivity. Excellent agreement between the resistivity values for inside and outside sections was obtained for the reduced or fluorinated wafers and these results are given in Table 2.

TABLE 2			
Reproducibility of Resistivity Measurements for Single Crystal Wafers of TiO_2 , $\text{TiO}_{2-x}\text{F}_x$ and SrTiO_{3-x}			
Sample Composition	Resistivity of Inside Section	Resistivity of Outside (I)	Resistivity of Outside (II)
	($\Omega\text{-cm}$)	($\Omega\text{-cm}$)	($\Omega\text{-cm}$)
$\text{TiO}_{1.999}$	6.0	6.4	6.3
$\text{TiO}_{1.993}$	0.9	0.9	1.0
$\text{TiO}_{1.9998}\text{F}_{.0002*}$	5.4	5.7	5.2
$\text{SrTiO}_{3-x}\text{F}_x$ II	0.14	0.15	0.16
	0.053	0.052	0.051

* limit of fluorine analysis

**values of x were not determined

For sintered discs of the polycrystalline samples, the measured resistivities were generally observed to decrease with increasing time of reduction; however, the rate of reduction became small after 72 hours. As can be seen from Table 3, resistivities were measured before and after abrasion of the discs by sandblasting to two-thirds of their original thickness.

TABLE 3		
Resistivity of Sintered Discs Before and After Sanding		
Sample	ρ Before Sanding	ρ After Sanding
	Ω -cm	Ω -cm
$\text{Sr}_2\text{Nb}_2\text{O}_7$ I	41.5	40.9
II	209	210.5
$\text{Ba}_{.5}\text{Sr}_{.5}\text{Nb}_2\text{O}_6$ I	2.58	2.67
II	2.35	2.50
$\text{Sr}_2\text{FeNbO}_6$	112	110
FeNbO_4	40	39.3
$\text{Fe}_{.9}\text{Cr}_{.1}\text{NbO}_4$	52	52.3
$\text{Fe}_{.9}\text{In}_{.1}\text{NbO}_4$	67.4	68.1
$\text{Fe}_{.9}\text{V}_{.1}\text{NbO}_4$	83.6	84.1

A negligible observed change in the measured resistivity after such abrasion established the homogeneity of the products.

Acknowledgments

The Office of Naval Research, Arlington, Virginia, supported the work of Bijan Khazai, Hector Leiva and Kirby Dwight. In addition the authors would like to acknowledge the support of the Materials Research Laboratory Program at Brown University.

References

1. S. N. Subbarao, et al, Mat. Res. Bull., 13, 1461 (1978).
2. C. E. Derrington, et al, Inorg. Chem., 17, 977 (1978).
3. R. Adams, et al, Mat. Res. Bull., 7, 93 (1972).
4. J. Hormadaly, et al, J. Solid State Chem. 33, 27 (1980).
5. D. Schleich, et al, Mat. Res. Bull., 12, 321 (1977).
6. L. J. Van der Pauw, Phillips Tech. Rev., 20, 220 (1958).

TECHNICAL REPORT DISTRIBUTION LIST, GEN

	<u>No. Copies</u>		<u>No. Copies</u>
Office of Naval Research Attn: Code 472 800 North Quincy Street Arlington, Virginia 22217	2	U.S. Army Research Office Attn: CRD-AA-IP P.O. Box 1211 Research Triangle Park, N.C. 27709	1
ONR Branch Office Attn: Dr. George Sandoz 536 S. Clark Street Chicago, Illinois 60605	1	Naval Ocean Systems Center Attn: Mr. Joe McCartney San Diego, California 92152	1
ONR Area Office Attn: Scientific Dept. 715 Broadway New York, New York 10003	1	Naval Weapons Center Attn: Dr. A. B. Amster, Chemistry Division China Lake, California 93555	1
ONR Western Regional Office 1030 East Green Street Pasadena, California 91106	1	Naval Civil Engineering Laboratory Attn: Dr. R. W. Drisko Port Hueneme, California 93401	1
ONR Eastern/Central Regional Office Attn: Dr. L. H. Peebles Building 114, Section D 666 Summer Street Boston, Massachusetts 02210	1	Department of Physics & Chemistry Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, California 93940	1
Director, Naval Research Laboratory Attn: Code 6100 Washington, D.C. 20390	1	Dr. A. L. Slafkosky Scientific Advisor Commandant of the Marine Corps (Code RD-1) Washington, D.C. 20380	1
The Assistant Secretary of the Navy (RE&S) Department of the Navy Room 4E736, Pentagon Washington, D.C. 20350	1	Office of Naval Research Attn: Dr. Richard S. Miller 800 N. Quincy Street Arlington, Virginia 22217	1
Commander, Naval Air Systems Command Attn: Code 310C (H. Rosenwasser) Department of the Navy Washington, D.C. 20360	1	Naval Ship Research and Development Center Attn: Dr. G. Bosmajian, Applied Chemistry Division Annapolis, Maryland 21401	1
Defense Technical Information Center Building 5, Cameron Station Alexandria, Virginia 22314	12	Naval Ocean Systems Center Attn: Dr. S. Yamamoto, Marine Sciences Division San Diego, California 91232	1
Dr. Fred Saalfeld Chemistry Division, Code 6100 Naval Research Laboratory Washington, D.C. 20375	1	Mr. John Boyle Materials Branch Naval Ship Engineering Center Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19112	1

TECHNICAL REPORT DISTRIBUTION LIST, GENNo.
Copies

Dr. Rudolph J. Marcus
Office of Naval Research
Scientific Liaison Group
American Embassy
APO San Francisco 96503

1

Mr. James Kelley
DTNSRDC Code 2803
Annapolis, Maryland 21402

1

TECHNICAL REPORT DISTRIBUTION LIST, 359

	<u>No. Copies</u>		<u>No. Copies</u>
Dr. Paul Delahay Department of Chemistry New York University New York, New York 10003	1	Dr. P. J. Hendra Department of Chemistry University of Southampton Southampton SO9 5NH United Kingdom	1
Dr. E. Yeager Department of Chemistry Case Western Reserve University Cleveland, Ohio 44106	1	Dr. Sam Perone Department of Chemistry Purdue University West Lafayette, Indiana 47907	1
Dr. D. N. Bennion Department of Chemical Engineering Brigham Young University Provo, Utah 84602	1	Dr. Royce W. Murray Department of Chemistry University of North Carolina Chapel Hill, North Carolina 27514	1
Dr. R. A. Marcus Department of Chemistry California Institute of Technology Pasadena, California 91125	1	Naval Ocean Systems Center Attn: Technical Library San Diego, California 92152	1
Dr. J. J. Auborn Bell Laboratories Murray Hill, New Jersey 07974	1	Dr. C. E. Mueller The Electrochemistry Branch Materials Division, Research & Technology Department Naval Surface Weapons Center White Oak Laboratory Silver Spring, Maryland 20910	1
Dr. Adam Heller Bell Laboratories Murray Hill, New Jersey 07974	1	Dr. G. Goodman Globe-Union Incorporated 5757 North Green Bay Avenue Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53201	1
Dr. T. Katan Lockheed Missiles & Space Co., Inc. P.O. Box 504 Sunnyvale, California 94088	1	Dr. J. Boechler Electrochimica Corporation Attention: Technical Library 2485 Charleston Road Mountain View, California 94040	1
Dr. Joseph Singer, Code 302-1 NASA-Lewis 21000 Brookpark Road Cleveland, Ohio 44135	1	Dr. P. P. Schmidt Department of Chemistry Oakland University Rochester, Michigan 48063	1
Dr. B. Brummer EIC Incorporated 55 Chapel Street Newton, Massachusetts 02158	1	Dr. R. Richtol Chemistry Department Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute Troy, New York 12181	1
Library P. R. Mallory and Company, Inc. Northwest Industrial Park Burlington, Massachusetts 01803	1		

TECHNICAL REPORT DISTRIBUTION LIST, 359

	<u>No. Copies</u>		<u>No. Copies</u>
Dr. A. B. Ellis Chemistry Department University of Wisconsin Madison, Wisconsin 53706	1	Dr. R. P. Van Duyne Department of Chemistry Northwestern University Evanston, Illinois 60201	1
Dr. M. Wrighton Chemistry Department Massachusetts Institute of Technology Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139	1	Dr. B. Stanley Pons Department of Chemistry University of Alberta Edmonton, Alberta CANADA T6C 2G2	1
Larry E. Plew Naval Weapons Support Center Code 30736, Building 2906 Crane, Indiana 47522	1	Dr. Michael J. Weaver Department of Chemistry Michigan State University East Lansing, Michigan 48824	1
S. Rubv DOF (STOR) 600 E Street Washington, D.C. 20545	1	Dr. R. David Rauh EIC Corporation 55 Chapel Street Newton, Massachusetts 02158	1
Dr. Aaron Wold Brown University Department of Chemistry Providence, Rhode Island 02192	1	Dr. J. David Margerum Research Laboratories Division Hughes Aircraft Company 3011 Malibu Canyon Road Malibu, California 90265	1
Dr. R. C. Chudacek McGraw-Edison Company Edison Battery Division Post Office Box 28 Bloomfield, New Jersey 07003	1	Dr. Martin Fleischmann Department of Chemistry University of Southampton Southampton 509 5NH England	1
Dr. A. J. Bard University of Texas Department of Chemistry Austin, Texas 78712	1	Dr. Janet Osteryoung Department of Chemistry State University of New York at Buffalo Buffalo, New York 14214	1
Dr. M. M. Nicholson Electronics Research Center Rockwell International 3370 Miraloma Avenue Anaheim, California	1	Dr. R. A. Osteryoung Department of Chemistry State University of New York at Buffalo Buffalo, New York 14214	1
Dr. Donald W. Ernst Naval Surface Weapons Center Code R-33 White Oak Laboratory Silver Spring, Maryland 20910	1	Mr. James R. Moden Naval Underwater Systems Center Code 3632 Newport, Rhode Island 02840	1

TECHNICAL REPORT DISTRIBUTION LIST, 359

	<u>No.</u> <u>Copies</u>		<u>No.</u> <u>Copies</u>
Dr. R. Nowak Naval Research Laboratory Code 6130 Washington, D.C. 20375	1	Dr. John Kincaid Department of the Navy Strategic Systems Project Office Room 901 Washington, DC 20376	1
Dr. John F. Houlihan Shenango Valley Campus Pennsylvania State University Sharon, Pennsylvania 16146	1	M. L. Robertson Manager, Electrochemical Power Sonices Division Naval Weapons Support Center Crane, Indiana 47522	1
Dr. M. G. Sceats Department of Chemistry University of Rochester Rochester, New York 14627	1	Dr. Elton Cairns Energy & Environment Division Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory University of California Berkeley, California 94720	1
Dr. D. F. Shriver Department of Chemistry Northwestern University Evanston, Illinois 60201	1	Dr. Bernard Spielvogel U.S. Army Research Office P.O. Box 12211 Research Triangle Park, NC 27709	1
Dr. D. H. Whitmore Department of Materials Science Northwestern University Evanston, Illinois 60201	1	Dr. Denton Elliott Air Force Office of Scientific Research Bldg. 104 Bolling AFB Washington, DC 20332	1
Dr. Alan Bewick Department of Chemistry The University Southampton, SO9 5NH England	1		
Dr. A. Himv NAVSEA-5433 NC #4 2541 Jefferson Davis Highway Arlington, Virginia 20362	1		

IED
8